

NATCO 49th Annual Meeting February 25-28, 2024

Hyatt Regency Hill Country San Antonio, Texas

<u>Call for Presentations</u>
Abstracts, Case Studies, and Posters

Meeting Intent and Topics for Submission:

If you have a proven best practice, quality improvement, innovative approach, or research project in any of the following areas, we want to hear about it! Keep in mind, the majority of attendees at the Annual Meeting have over 10 years of experience in the field. There is an old adage in the OPO/Transplant world "when you have seen one center, you've seen one center". Remember what you find common place may be new or help transform a process somewhere else. So, no matter if your OPO/Transplant center is big or small, you have experiences, ideas, innovations, and best practices to share and NATCO wants to give you the stage to share upon! Your colleagues have requested presentations on the following topics:

Abdominal Transplant

- Infectious Disease & Treatment
- Post Transplant Care/Pregnancy
- Immunology
- Advanced Clinical Practice
- Living Donation
- Social Work/Psychosocial/Caregiver Support System
- Palliative Care/Palliative Medicine
- Public Policy and Allocation
- Pediatric Practices

Thoracic Transplant

- Infectious Disease & Treatment
- Post Transplant Care
- Immunology
- Advanced Clinical Practice
- Social Work/Psychosocial/Caregiver Support System
- Pediatric Practices

Procurement

- Donor Related Research and Innovative Practices
- Thoracic Recruitment
- Advanced Donor Management
- Allocation Practice
- DCD Practices
- Preservation Advancements
- Staffing

Hospital Engagement

- Inspired Hospital Engagement
- Innovative Physician Partnerships
- Creative Stakeholder Education
- HD Staff Development
- Data & Report Showcase
- Process Improvement Collaborations
- Palliative Care Partnerships
- Educational Events and Hospital Awards
- Using Social Media to Enhance Relationships and Provide Positive Feedback

Family Authorization

- Diversity, Equity and Inclusion
- Creative Donor Family Events
- DCD Best Practices
- Complicated Authorization Case Studies
- Creative Partnerships with Palliative Care and Hospice
- Innovative Community Outreach and Education Initiatives
- Best Practices for Timely Engagement with Families.

Special Interest

- Ethics
- Quality Improvement
- Burnout Prevention/Self Care
- Leadership
- Building Stronger Work Teams
- Corporate Partnerships
- Legislative Involvement

Preservation

- Advances in Perfusion Technology
- Advances in Recovery Techniques
- Best Practices for Training and Managing Staff
- Innovative Models for Exchange/Sharing of Machines
- Transplant Program-centric Opportunities
- Normothermic Regional Perfusion
- Oxygenated Kidney Pumps
- OR Management/Set Up with New Pump Equipment (space and staffing, whose pumps are they)

Please present the results of your technological advances, research projects, quality improvement projects, case studies and/or innovations in areas such as clinical and transplant practices, procurement, hospital engagement, or educational programs.

Organizations may submit multiple presentations, abstracts and/or case studies. This is a great opportunity for you to share your successes and create a world of opportunities!

Evaluation of your submission will be based on content and compliance with the suggested format (see below pages). All submissions will be reviewed by members of the NATCO Planning Committee. The primary author of each submission will be notified of acceptance or non-acceptance by end of August 2023.

<u>Submit only Abstracts and Case Studies that have not been previously presented at a National Conference or Meeting</u>

Please use the following links for submissions:

Abstract and Case Study: Click Here

Poster: Click Here

Submissions must be received by **Friday**, **July 21**, **2023**, end of business day.

Please use current data for your submission. This information can be updated in your final presentation.

Additional Resources:

Video Presentation: Writing Case Studies and Abstract for Publication. Click Here

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Karen Libs karenl@natco1.org.

2024 NATCO Annual Meeting Planning Committee					
Name	Email	Expertise:			
Brandi Gwidt	Brandi.Gwidt@aah.org	Abdominal Transplant			
Laura Ebel	laura.ebel@bjc.org	Thoracic Transplant			
Michelle Reef	mreef@msora.org	Family Services/Hospital Engagement			
Wendy Van Kirk	Wendy.Vankirk@dnaz.org	Preservation			
Justin Johnson	jjohnson@dnwest.org	Procurement/Hospital Engagement			
Micah Williams	MWilliams@tosa1.org	Procurements			

Author Guidelines for General Session Presentation

- General Session Presentation Format:
 - Only previously unpublished original work will be considered.
 - o Abstract may not have been previously presented at a National Conference or meeting.
 - Authors must be clearly identified in the author field
 - The first author should be the person doing the presentation.
 - o The full first and last names of all authors and the credentials of each should be noted.
 - Use standard abbreviations. Place special or unusual abbreviations in parentheses after the full word the first time they appear.
 - Organize the submission into four distinct sections:
 - CONTENT SUMMARY: State the purpose of your presentation. Describe the components you plan to discuss in your presentation.
 - INTERESTS: Why it is important to donation or transplant. How is it new or innovative?
 - LEARNING OUTCOME: Identify what the learner will know and/or be able to do by attending this presentation.
- The summary should not exceed 350 words.
- DO NOT USE the names of transplant centers, OPOs or service areas in the body of your summary.
- Evaluation of your submission will be based on content and compliance with the suggested format.
- See General Session Presentation Example on the following page.
- Submissions must be received by Friday, July 21, 2023.

Example: General Session Presentation

Content Summary: This presentation will educate the beginner and advanced transplant nurse on topics related to pediatric solid organ transplant. Solid organ transplant is the treatment of choice for a variety of end stage organ diseases. Recipient survival has improved significantly over time due to advances in immunosuppression and monitoring for infections.

Rejection and infection are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality following transplant and result in the need for life-long monitoring. An adequately suppressed immune system that prevents rejection must be balanced with one that is also able to fight infection.

Immunosuppression medications and their respective side effects will be reviewed as to how they relate to post-transplant complications. Evidence based primary care management for this population will be reviewed including but not limited to growth and development, immunizations, and quality of life. Furthermore, common illness management in the primary care and inpatient setting will be reviewed including but not limited to fever, abdominal pain, vomiting and diarrhea, and use of antibiotics.

The main teaching strategy will be a didactic session with the use of PowerPoint. The learning activities will include case studies to apply the transplant related content to patient scenarios and generate discussion regarding care of a pediatric solid organ transplant patient.

Interest: The pediatric transplant recipient has a distinct set of challenges to overcome including growth, cognitive and emotional development, and striving for social acceptance. Transplant coordinators provide education and support in helping families meet the challenges of caring for a child with a chronic illness.

Learning Outcomes: 1. Audience member will be able to list common post-transplant complications. 2. Audience member will be able to describe transplant medications and common side effects.

Author Guidelines for Abstract Submission

- Only previously unpublished original work will be considered.
- Abstract may not have been previously presented at a National Conference or meeting.
- Abstracts report the investigation and results of completed research or project.
- Abstract format:
 - o Authors must be clearly identified in the author field.
 - The first author should be the person presenting the paper.
 - The full first and last names of all authors and the credentials of each should be noted.
 - Use standard abbreviations. Place special or unusual abbreviations in parentheses after the full word the first time they appear.
 - Use numerals to indicate numbers except to begin a sentence.
 - Organize the body of the abstract into four distinct sections:
 - PURPOSE: State the problem to be discussed. Please indicate whether this study met the criteria for your institution's human subject protection. Abstracts will not be accepted without a statement within the abstract or documentation of this.
 - METHODS: Learning Outcome
 - RESULTS: Briefly describe findings.
 - CONCLUSION: State conclusion or solutions to problems. Evaluate the relevance of this study to other persons involved in recovery, transplantation, or hospital development.
- The abstract should not exceed 350 words.
- DO NOT USE the names of transplant centers, OPOs or service areas in the body of your abstract.
- Evaluation of your abstract will be based on content and compliance with the suggested format.
- See Abstract Example on the following page.
- It is highly recommended that authors chosen to present submit a full manuscript to NATCO's journal, Progress in Transplantation.
- Abstracts must be received by Friday, July 21, 2023.

Example: Abstract Submission

Problem/Idea stated in first sentence/

paragraph

Effects of Calcineurin Inhibitors on Influenza Vaccine Response

Kelly Radford, RN, CCTC, University of Wisconsin Hospitals & Clinics, Madison, WI

Full name, credentials listed

Human subject

documented

Purpose: Lung transplant patients are at high risk of morbidity and mortality from influenza infection because of altered lung physiology and immunosuppression. Annual influenza immunization is recommended, but the ability to mount an antibody response may be limited by calcineurin inhibitors which are key components of lung transpl immunosuppression regimens. We hypothesized that a differential effect between protection tacrolimus and cyclosporine on influenza vaccine response rates would exist. The I study was approved by the institutional review board, and all participarits gave their written informed consent.

Methods briefly described

Lung transplant patients had blood drawn prior to receiving the influenza vaccine weeks later over two seasons. Influenza antibody concentrations were measured I hemagglutination inhibition assay. Vaccine response rates (antibody concentration hemagglutination units (HAU) and at least 4 fold increase in antibody concentration least one vaccine virus) were compared using chi square tests. The influence of tac and cyclosporine on vaccine response was compared. With a sample size of 65, the to detect a 50% difference in vaccine response rate was at least 80%.

Transplant center, OPO and service area are NOT named in the body of abstract to assure objectivity during review process

Appropriate

Results briefly described

Results: A total of 64 lung transplant patients participated. Forty-one patients taki tacrolimus were followed for median of 37 months and 23 patients taking cyclospo were followed for median 51 months (p>0.6; Mann-Whitney U) Among the lung transplant patients, no difference in influenza vaccine response rates in patients taking tacrolimus or cyclosporine could be found.

Response Rates:					use of
Drug	2004-5	p value	2005-6	p value"	numerals for numbers in
Tacrolimus Cyclosporine	28/44 14/27	0.20	15/36 10/22	0.80	the text to condense volume

Conclusions stated are directly relevant to procurement, transplantation or hospital development field

Since calcineurin trough concentrations are determined by protocol, time since transplant Word Count: serves as a stable correlate of trough concentrations. No correlation between time six 350 or fewer transplant and influenza vaccine antibody response rate was detected.

Conclusion: No large differential effect of calcineurin inhibitor on influenza vaccine antibody response exists. The choice of calcineurin inhibitor may not influence protec Four distinct conferred by influenza immunization.

section: easily reviewed

Author Guidelines for Case Study Submission

- Only previously unpublished original work will be considered.
- Case Study may not have been previously presented at a National Conference or meeting.
- Case studies describe a particular problem or case and your strategies to overcome the problem.
- Case Study Format:
 - Authors must be clearly identified.
 - The first author should be the person presenting the paper.
 - o The full first and last names of all authors and the credentials of each should be noted.
 - Use standard abbreviations. Place special or unusual abbreviations in parentheses after the full word the first time it appears.
 - Use numerals to indicate numbers except to begin a sentence.
 - Organize the body of the case study into three distinct sections:
 - PATIENT/CASE PROFILE: Pertinent demographics; a brief history of the current transplant, organ/tissue recovery, or hospital development situation or problem. Describe the case for clarity. DO NOT disclose confidential information unless permission has been given to do so. Please indicate whether permission to publish has been obtained.
 - Case studies will not be accepted without permission to publish documentation.
 - DISCUSSION: A concise description of how the situation or problem was addressed; a concise presentation of transplant, donor, or strategic management of the case that describes the flow of events.
 - SUMMARY: Outcome of the situation (positive and negative); application of knowledge gained from the problem or situation.
- The Case Study should not exceed 350 words.
- DO NOT USE the names of transplant centers, OPOs or service areas in the body of your case study.
- Evaluation of your case study will be based on content and compliance with the suggested format.
- See the Case Study Example on the following page.
- It is highly recommended that authors chosen to present submit a case study manuscript to NATCO's journal, Progress in Transplantation.
- Case studies must be submitted by Friday, July 21, 2023.

Example: Case Study Submission

Full name. credentials listed

Cancer + TB + Cyanide = 4 Saved Lives

kidneys were successfully transplanted.

Denise Tripp Martin, RN; Julia Landon, RN, CPTC, Carolina Donor Services, Durham, NC

Introduction and brief definition

Patient Profile: This 27yo male was admitted to ED for suicide ideations stemming from a history of depression. While in the ED, the patient had a syncopal episode leaving to No confidential restroom and soon was found to be pulseless and cyanotic. CPR, intubation and ACLS information drugs were administered.

disclosed

Concise explanation of situation

Discussion: Patient was noted to be in severe lactic acidosis, pH <6.8, lactate 12.1. In addition, a white substance was found on the patient, who worked as a chemist. Therefore, the hospital suspected cyanide poisoning. Patient received sodium thiosulfate, a cyanide antidote. This significantly improved the lactic acidosis, there supporting cyanide toxicity later confirmed by toxicology. The patient was declared brain dead and was evaluated as a potential organ donor due to family interest. The Poison Control Center was immediately contacted. They reported that organs had previously been transplanted following cyanide poisonings. Past medical history included a diagnosis at age 18 of Stage IV testicular cancer with metastasis to the abdominal lymph nodes and right lung. He received chemotherapy, radiation, a left orchiectomy, and right lobectomy. Following two years of treatment he had been ir remission for 7 years. At age 16, the patient underwent treatment for one year for a positive TB test. Written consent was obtained, and evaluation of organ function ensued. Initial creatinine and liver function tests were elevated but trending downw Troponin and coags remained within normal limits. An echocardiogram revealed a structurally normal heart with an EF of 60-65%. CXR was clear. Bronchoscopy revealed no purulent secretions, normal anatomy except absence of the right lower lobe. PaO2 was >520 on 100% FIO2. Urinary output averaged 3cc/kg/hr. The heart, liver, and

Appropriate use of numbers

Transplant center, OPO and service area are NOT identified

Detailed explanation of flow of events

substance. This case is a clear example of why we should attempt to place organs even when suitability is questionable. Because of the OPO's commitment to pursue every donor, every organ, every time, four people received life-saving transplants.

Summary: OPOs and hospitals should not assume that patients are unsuitable donors

when the patient presents with a significant past medical history or a death from a toxic

Three distinct sections

(No confidential information has been disclosed in this case study. The OPO's confidentiality guidelines have been met and permission to publish has been granted.)

Permission to publish

Author Guidelines for Poster Submission

• Follow either the 'Abstract' or 'Case Study' guidelines to submit your work as a poster